

1 **IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT**
2 **OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR CARSON CITY**

3 UBER SEXUAL ASSAULT SURVIVORS
4 FOR LEGAL ACCOUNTABILITY and
5 NEVADA JUSTICE ASSOCIATION,
6 Plaintiffs,

7 vs.

8 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a
9 Delaware corporation; MATT GRIFFIN,
10 SCOTT GILLES, JOHN GRIFFIN, and TIA
11 WHITE, individuals; “NEVADANS FOR
12 FAIR RECOVERY,” a registered Nevada
13 political action committee; and
14 FRANCISCO AGUILAR, in his official
15 capacity as Nevada Secretary of State,
16 Defendants.

Case No.

Dept. No.

Priority Matter Under NRS 295.061(1)

17 **DECLARATION OF MICHAEL MCCANN**

18 Overview and summary	2
19 Relevant background, qualifications, and experience	4
20 I. The “Nevadans for Fair Recovery” proposal is misleading on its face	5
21 II. The proponents’ public relations strategy compounds this misleading	
22 impression	7
23 III. The proponents’ framing and media campaign are especially likely to	
24 mislead the public because they build on decades of efforts by opponents	
25 of corporate accountability	8
26 IV. Taken together, the wording of the initiative and its description, the public	
27 relations campaign by its supporters, and decades of similar campaigns	
28 will significantly mislead members of the public about the true effect of the	
proposal	12

1 I, Michael McCann, declare:

2 **Overview and Summary**

3 1. I am the Gordon Hirabayashi Professor in the Advancement of Citizenship
4 Emeritus at the University of Washington, where I served as Chair of the Political Science
5 Department at the University of Washington for five years in the late 1990s and again for brief
6 stints in 2010-11 and 2017-18. I also initiated and led, for over a decade, the university's
7 nationally recognized program in Law, Societies, and Justice.

8 2. I am providing this declaration to offer my evaluation of how Nevada voters are
9 likely to understand the "Nevadans for Fair Recovery" ballot initiative. This declaration is
10 based on my review of the petition and the description of its effect; the messaging by the
11 proponents of the initiative; decades of empirical research on voters' perceptions of such
12 messaging (including my own); and recent data from a survey of Nevada voters who were
13 read the description of effect and asked questions about how they understand the initiative.

14 3. The proposed ballot initiative would limit attorneys' contingency fees in any civil
15 case where a person is seeking damages to 20% of any amount recovered. As discussed in
16 detail below, the description does not inform voters of the true purpose or the true effect of
17 this proposal. The group supporting this ballot initiative is called "Nevadans for Fair Recovery
18 PAC" and its committee registration form claims that the PAC's purpose is "to support issues
19 related to victim recovery."

20 4. Statements made to the press by proponents of the initiative, including lobbyists
21 for Uber, state that the goal of the initiative is to "put victims first," to "lower the costs for all
22 Nevadans," and to rein in "billboard attorneys."¹ These claims and phrases play on well-
23 funded efforts over the course of decades to convince the public that there is an epidemic of
24

25 ¹ Eric Neugeboren, *Uber-backed Proposal seeks 20% Cap on Attorney Fees in Civil Cases*,
26 Nev. Indep. (March 18, 2024), last accessed April 1, 2024,
27 [https://thenevadaindependent.com/article/uber-backed-proposal-filed-to-cap-](https://thenevadaindependent.com/article/uber-backed-proposal-filed-to-cap-attorney-fees-in-civil-cases)
28 [attorney-fees-in-civil-cases](https://thenevadaindependent.com/article/uber-backed-proposal-filed-to-cap-attorney-fees-in-civil-cases). According to this report, "Nevadans for Fair Recovery" is
backed by Uber.

1 civil litigation brought by greedy attorneys who are lining their pockets at victims' expense.
2 Corporations seeking to protect themselves from legal accountability promoted narratives of
3 greedy attorneys and frivolous lawsuits, which successfully shaped the public's understanding
4 of the civil justice system. The ballot initiative, the PAC's name, and the media campaign
5 surrounding its passage all draw upon these same tropes and narratives.

6 5. Polling bears this out. Fully 46% of Nevadans polled over the past week (n=501),
7 after being a read the full description, did not understand that this initiative will apply to
8 sexual assault cases. Miller Decl. at ¶ 10(e). Yet 82% understood that the initiative would apply
9 to car accident cases. Miller Decl. at ¶ 10(a). I couldn't think of a better illustration for how
10 decades of well-funded public relations campaigns have shaped public perceptions to the
11 point that voters, when faced with a policy proposal about contingency fees, reflexively think
12 of plaintiff lawyers only as "ambulance chasers," not as advocates for survivors of sexual
13 assault. That is particularly misleading given that Uber, which is currently facing thousands of
14 claims for sexual assault nationwide, is the main backer of this initiative.

15 6. Similarly, this recent polling shows how Uber and other corporations have
16 misleadingly led the public to believe that lawsuits on behalf of people who have been injured
17 or had their rights violated are a drain on society. Approximately half of the Nevadans polled
18 believed that this initiative would *save the state money*. In fact, the opposite is true. As other
19 experts explain, when working-class people are no longer able to recover compensation from
20 large companies like Uber, it is the State of Nevada's Medicaid program that foots the bill. *See*
21 Kritzer Decl. at ¶ 55-65; Sasser Decl.

22 7. Based on my expertise and background in this area, as well as the relevant
23 empirical evidence and polling data, I conclude that voters will be misled and confused about
24 the effects of this initiative. Voters will think that the initiative will ensure that victims receive
25 *more* compensation for their injuries or violations of their rights. The opposite is true, as this
26 proposal would result in victims receiving *less* compensation for the harms done to them. In
27 other words, voters will not realize that this initiative is about protecting companies like Uber
28 rather than compensating victims.

Relevant Background, Qualifications, and Experience

8. I am the author of over seventy article-length publications and the author, co-author, editor, or co-editor of eight books. My books include *DISTORTING THE LAW: POLITICS, MEDIA, AND THE LITIGATION CRISIS* (University of Chicago Press, 2004) (co-authored with William Haltom), an empirical study of how the narratives disseminated by proponents of limits on access to civil justice have shaped public perceptions about lawyers and the legal system. The book won the C. Herman Pritchett Prize for Best Book in 2004 from the Law and Courts section of the American Political Science Association, as well as the Herbert Jacob Prize for Best Book in 2004 from the Law & Society Association.

9. Among other honors, I received the 2023 Law & Society Association Harry J. Kalven Prize for Empirical Scholarship that has “contributed most effectively to the advancement of research in Law and Society” and I was subsequently honored with the 2024 Outstanding Scholar Award by the American Bar Foundation Fellows. I have also been awarded a Guggenheim Fellowship (2008), a Law and Public Affairs Program Fellowship at Princeton (2011-12), and numerous NSF and other research grants; I was elected as president of the international Law and Society Association for 2011-13.

10. I hold a Ph.D. and M.A. in Political Science from the University of California, Berkeley and a B.A. in Political Science from the University of Florida, where I graduated *magna cum laude* and Phi Beta Kappa. My full curriculum vitae is attached as **Exhibit A**.

11. My scholarship generally concerns legal rights-claiming practices by workers and consumers, especially by low-income people. I have studied informal disputing as well as formal rights claiming and litigation, with special attention to the roles that lawyers play in rights advocacy, in and out of court. All of my scholarship is grounded in understanding how law, legal rules, legal principles, and legal practices shape our social life, within legal institutions and especially throughout society. In this framework, how people understand legal narratives is critically important, as it shapes their perceptions of legal rights and legal institutions.

1 **I. The “Nevadans for Fair Recovery” proposal is misleading on its face.**

2 12. “Nevadans for Fair Recovery PAC” has proposed a ballot initiative in Nevada
3 that would prohibit attorneys from “contract[ing] for or collect[ing] a fee contingent on the
4 amount of recovery for representing a person seeking damages in a civil case in excess of
5 twenty percent of the amount of recovery.”

6 13. The official description of effect prepared by “Nevadans for Fair Recovery PAC”
7 to accompany the proposal does not disclose to the voters the true purpose or effect of the
8 proposal. It does not disclose any purpose at all. It does not disclose that the principal effect of
9 the proposal would be to suppress valid claims. It does not describe the types of claims
10 affected, leaving the average person with no clue as to the breadth of the proposal and the
11 many areas of law that it covers, from antitrust law to civil rights, from patent litigation to
12 sexual assault and harassment. And it does not disclose that the proposal is entirely one-
13 sided, limiting the plaintiff’s, but not the defendant’s, freedom to contract with an attorney.

14 14. On its face, the initiative will mislead Nevada voters. While the stated effect is
15 simply to limit the fees that an attorney may charge and receive as a contingency fee in any
16 civil case, the real effect will be to limit access to the civil legal system. Existing research bears
17 out this point – there is scant evidence that restrictions on contingency fees curb frivolous
18 lawsuits. Just the opposite: Limiting contingent fees actually increases an attorney’s incentive
19 to pursue low quality cases. Even leading proponents of tort reform acknowledge that
20 “[c]ontingent-fee caps” are counterproductive because they will only eliminate the screening
21 provided by lawyers and thereby “wash[] low-value ‘junk suits’ into the legal system.”²
22
23

24
25 ² Alexander Tabarrok & Eric Helland, TWO CHEERS FOR CONTINGENT FEES, 6 (American
26 Enter. Inst. 2005); see also Adam Shajnfeld, *A Critical Survey of the Law, Ethics, and*
27 *Economics of Attorney Contingent Fee Arrangements*, 54 N.Y.L. Sch. L. Rev. 773, 808 (2009-
28 2010). (“Economic modeling suggests that contingent fee arrangements reduce
frivolous suits when compared to hourly fee arrangements. The reasoning is simple:
When an attorney’s compensation is based solely on success, as opposed to hours
billed, there is great incentive to accept and prosecute only meritorious cases.”)

1 15. Yet without a sophisticated understanding of litigation economics, voters will be
2 misled into thinking that the initiative will *increase* the amount of money that victims recover
3 for their injuries.³

4 16. Recent polling bears out just how misleading this initiative is on its face. When
5 provided with the description of effect prepared by “Nevadans for Fair Recovery,” Nevadans
6 were seriously misled about the scope of the proposal and its effects.

7 17. Nevadans were asked whether, based only on the description of effect, certain
8 kinds of lawsuits “will be affected by this measure if it became law.” *See* Miller Decl., Ex. A.
9 The results were striking. Fully 46% of Nevadans polled did not understand that the measure
10 would affect sexual assault cases. Miller Decl. at ¶ 10(e). Further, 38% of Nevadans didn’t
11 understand that elder abuse cases would be covered, and 29% didn’t understand that the
12 initiative would apply to wrongful death suits. Miller Decl. at ¶¶ 10(b), (c). Compare that to
13 the whopping 82% of Nevadans who understood that the initiative would cover car accident
14 cases. Miller Decl. at ¶ 10(a).

15 18. I could not think of a better illustration of how decades of public relations
16 campaigns by big companies like Uber have shaped the public’s view of lawyers, such that
17 people reflexively associate them with tropes about “ambulance chasers” and “billboard
18 attorneys,” not advocates for survivors of sexual assault or the families of victims of elder
19 abuse. What is particularly striking is that the description of effect only talks about limits on
20 attorney fees in “a civil case.” But against the backdrop of decades of “tort reform” messaging,
21 that seemingly neutral phrasing meant that nearly half of Nevadans polled did not understand
22 the true scope of this initiative. What is particularly troubling is that people were least likely to
23 understand that sexual assault cases were covered – even though Uber, the company leading
24 this initiative, is seeking to suppress exactly those kinds of claims.

25
26 ³ Tabarrok and Helland, TWO CHEERS, at 25 (noting that people’s opposition to
27 contingent fees “represents a case of mistaking that which is seen for all that there is.
28 After a case has been settled or concluded, contingent fees may appear large relative to
the number of hours a lawyer has put into that particular case. But the fee needs to be
evaluated *ex ante* – that is, before the case begins.”).

1 19. Similarly, because “tort reform” advocates were so successful in convincing the
2 public that lawsuits and lawyers were a drain on society, 47.5% of Nevadans polled thought
3 that the initiative would *save* the State of Nevada money. The exact opposite is true. This
4 initiative would make it much harder for working-class Nevadans to find competent counsel
5 and to recover compensation from large companies like Uber. And when people are unable to
6 obtain compensation for their injuries, it is the State of Nevada’s Medicaid program that foots
7 the bill. Kritzer Decl. at ¶ 55-65; *see also* Sasser Decl. The average voter, of course, is unlikely to
8 know anything about the state’s right to subrogation of medical expenses in tort cases.

9 **II. The proponents’ public relations strategy compounds this misleading impression.**

10 20. The public relations campaign by “Nevadans for Fair Recovery” and the
11 initiative’s main backer, Uber, will compound the misleading impression the public will
12 receive. In the March 18, 2024, press release, a New York-based lobbyist for Uber, Harry
13 Hartfield, stated that “[their] hope is that this ballot measure can bring common sense reforms
14 to the legal system, put victims first and potentially lower costs for all Nevadans.”⁴ Paul Enos,
15 CEO of the Nevada Trucking Association, further attacked “billboard attorneys” and asserted
16 the importance of “support[ing] any measure that protects victims,” and “reduces paydays for
17 some of the richest attorneys in the country.” In the same press release, a quotation attributed
18 to Mary Lau, President of the Retail Association of Nevada, remarked that that “billboard
19 attorneys have co-opted the court system at the expense of victims and businesses,” and that
20 “Nevadans need real reforms that protect plaintiffs, not millionaire attorneys.” An Uber
21 employee claimed that “[a]t the end of the day the current system works better for lawyers
22 than drivers.”

23 21. I read the ballot initiative and the press release that accompanied it with a mix of
24 amusement and deep lament. “Here we go again” was my first response. The rhetoric draws
25 heavily on the simplistic, moralistic, and empirically ungrounded claims of earlier tort
26 reformers and mass media reporters. The initiative’s rhetoric sides with victims against
27

28 ⁴ Press Release, Scott Gilles, Nevadans for Fair Recovery Announces Support for Ballot Initiative Capping Attorney Fees at 20% (Mar. 18, 2024).

1 cartoonish images vilifying “billboard lawyers” and “millionaire attorneys” who rip them off
2 with exorbitant fees. No evidence is offered. This is just rhetoric, but rhetoric Americans have
3 been primed to find familiar and sensible by decades of advertising and advocacy.

4 22. The supposedly positive message of this public-relations campaign is that
5 exploited citizens should embrace “reasonable” and “common sense” reforms to reign in the
6 greed of lawyers and restore fairness to law, as the ballot initiative aspires to do. I underline,
7 however, that the common sense at stake is grounded in a manipulated fictional account of
8 legal practice in the United States.

9 23. It is obvious to most serious scholars that such an initiative is not only a
10 simplistic response to fictional problems, but it would also undercut access to legal relief for
11 harms for ordinary people—such as workers, consumers, teachers, students, and the like—
12 thus compounding rather than fixing the primary injustices of the American civil legal system.
13 The initiative builds on and compounds widespread misunderstandings in the public that
14 make it appear as sensible reform.

15 **III. The proponents’ framing and media campaign are especially likely to mislead the**
16 **public because they build on decades of efforts by opponents of corporate**
17 **accountability.**

18 24. Our research in and beyond DISTORTING THE LAW: POLITICS, MEDIA, AND THE
19 LITIGATION CRISIS focused on how a decades-long coordinated public relations campaign
20 successfully left many Americans with the notion that there is an epidemic of civil litigation,
21 an excess of rights claiming, and a legal system out of control. Advocates for “tort reform”
22 succeeded in convincing the American public that our civil justice system is unfairly
23 authorizing greedy attorneys to bring frivolous litigation, lining their pockets at victims’
24 expense. We demonstrated how large corporate players and instrumental allies spent great
25 amounts of money to convince Americans that an epidemic of frivolous litigation arose in the
26 1970s, when, in fact, no such epidemic existed. This supposed epidemic purportedly imposed
27 huge financial costs on businesses as well as consumers and undermined the traditional ethos
28 of “individual responsibility.”

25. The primary evidence offered by this movement (often referred to as “tort
reformers”) was pithy, simplistic, scathing anecdotes (“tort tales” and “pop torts”) of legal

1 frivolity and abuse by lawyers. Our publications show that these anecdotes, produced by
2 reformers and replayed in mass media reporting and entertainment, routinely ridiculed
3 lawyers as greedy and rapacious (“sharks” was a familiar image), and plaintiffs as dupes
4 driven by but also victimized by lawyers, and soft-headed liberal juries and judges who
5 bowed to their claims, all to the detriment of a sound civic society. The proposed reforms
6 included trimming awards to allegedly harmed claimants, reducing lawyers’ fees, requiring
7 unsuccessful claimants to cover fees of winning defendants, and the like. The corporate-
8 sponsored reformers urged that the maladies of lawyer-driven “hyper litigiousness” must be
9 cured by “reasonable,” “common sense” reforms that would return American social life to an
10 ethos of “individual responsibility.” The messaging of this campaign was moralistic, grounded
11 in mostly fabricated anecdotes, and manipulation.

12 26. The actual changes in legal rules that the “tort reform” movement produced
13 were minimal, but the changes in understandings and practices of key players – citizen
14 claimants, lawyers, jurors, judges, etc. – were dramatic. Other prominent scholars have backed
15 up and celebrated our empirical research and analysis. In addition, we pointed to decades of
16 empirical research demonstrating that the biggest failure of the American civil legal system
17 instead is actually in providing accessible forms of relief and justice to ordinary Americans.
18 We concluded that while lawyers individually and collectively were hardly blameless for
19 various problems, allegations about the effects of high contingency fees and manipulation of
20 clients and potential clients were not grounded in sound evidence.

21 27. Our empirical research revealed the massive scale of dissemination of this anti-
22 lawyer narrative; we analyzed nearly 7,000 mainstream news accounts, scores of paid
23 advertisements, anecdotes that flooded American popular culture, and more. Furthermore,
24 we marshalled over one hundred empirical social science studies to demonstrate that the
25 claims underlying this narrative were false. In fact, there was no dramatic increase in tort or
26 other civil litigation during the time period in question, damage awards and lawyers’ fees did
27 not increase significantly, and most of the anecdotes offered as evidence by the proponents of
28 this misleading campaign were as baseless as the overall narrative. The familiar narrative
about a litigation crisis was not only manufactured, but it distorted Americans’ understanding
of the legal system and willingness to claim rights and seek redress when harmed.

1 28. In fact, attorneys representing clients who have been harmed by large
2 corporations have played a crucial role in ensuring corporate accountability and compensation
3 for serious injuries suffered by millions of Americans. It is the plaintiff-side attorneys who
4 have helped victims take on big businesses, from insurance companies to car manufacturers.
5 Because of lawsuits brought by victims with the assistance of lawyers, corporations can no
6 longer dump waste and chemicals into soil and drinking water with impunity. Children no
7 longer choke to death on small toys and parts, lead-tainted toys are removed from shelves, and
8 toys containing asbestos are no longer available to kids. And elder abuse is no longer a secret,
9 as victims and families with the help of attorneys brought attention to this crisis, ushering in
10 safety protocols and monitoring into nursing homes.

11 29. “Tort reformers” sought not only to downplay these accomplishments but to
12 twist them into examples of how the system doesn’t work. Americans who were harmed by
13 the wrongdoing of large corporations became plaintiffs just trying to make a buck. The
14 lawyers who helped those plaintiffs recover for their injuries became ambulance chasers. And
15 a necessary tool of corporate accountability became a crisis in our legal system. As I
16 demonstrated, however, these efforts were too often successful at shaping public
17 understanding.

18 30. The image of wealthy attorneys profiting at the expense of everyday Americans
19 was a backbone of the tort reformer’s efforts. Their strategy, generously backed by big
20 business, was and remains twofold.

21 a. First, tort reformers saturated media with bold headlines and stories that
22 claimed there was a flood of frivolous litigation. Headlines such as “Sue City,
23 USA,” “Sue-icidal Impulse,” “Life without Risks,” “Responsibility repealed,”
24 and “The Lawsuit crisis is Bad for Babies,” are just a small sampling of this
25 media deluge.⁵

26 b. Second, tort reformers presented this flood of litigation as motivated by greedy
27 attorneys. Contingency fees in particular were presented as a way for attorneys

28 ⁵ WILLIAM HALTOM AND MICHAEL MCCANN, DISTORTING THE LAW: POLITICS, MEDIA,
AND THE LITIGATION CRISIS 46 (2004).

1 to get rich at the expense of both their clients and businesses. Lacking concrete
2 evidence, tort reformers spun tales that fit into traditional notions of right and
3 wrong – the money-hungry attorney pitted directly against the innocent
4 individual or business targeted by frivolous lawsuits.

5 31. Alluring and alarming stories that circulate in the media about law often
6 pervade and profoundly reshape legal policymaking, legal practice, and the public's
7 awareness of the legal system. Perhaps the most famous example was a 79-year-old woman
8 who was scalded by overheated McDonalds coffee, leaving her with third-degree burns that
9 required expensive medical care.⁶ To reimburse her medical bills, she offered to settle the suit
10 for only a few thousand dollars, but McDonalds refused. During litigation, it came out that
11 McDonalds kept its coffee at scalding temperatures that were 30 to 40 degrees hotter than its
12 competitors. It also came out that hundreds of other people had been burned. After the jury
13 awarded this woman punitive damages because of McDonalds' systemic misconduct, the "tort
14 reform" movement turned this story into the poster child for their narrative of greedy lawyers
15 and a legal system in crisis. Due to this kind of savvy media rhetoric and public messaging by
16 tort reformers, Americans believe that overzealous attorneys are abusing innocent victims and
17 taking their money.

18 32. Although our book was published in 2004, the playbook remains consistent
19 twenty years later: Advocates of "tort reform" characterize lawyers as greedy, cash-driven
20 professionals, leaving victims with neither compensation nor justice. Reformers play to the
21 public's moral sensibilities by casting attorneys as blameworthy and corrupt characters who
22 take advantage of desperate victims, as well as blameless and hardworking individuals or
23 businesses.

24 33. The same public relations strategy is at play here. Framing an initiative that
25 would make it harder for ordinary Nevadans to find attorneys in a vast swath of cases as
26 actually about reining in "billboard attorneys" and wealthy lawyers will mislead voters by

27 ⁶ Joe Hernandez, *A Woman is suing McDonald's after being burned by hot coffee. It's not the*
28 *first time*, NPR (Sept. 23, 2023, 5:00 AM),
<https://www.npr.org/2023/09/28/1201421914/a-woman-is-suing-mcdonalds-after-being-burned-by-hot-coffee-its-not-the-first-time>.

1 tapping into preconceived notions about lawyers. Voters are primed to understand the
2 purpose of the ballot initiative as supportive of victims when instead the opposite is true. The
3 initiative would drastically suppress all types of civil claims, leading many victims who would
4 otherwise be compensated for their losses to be shut out of the civil legal system.

5 34. The committee registration form for “Nevadans for Fair Recovery PAC” claims
6 that the purpose of the PAC is “to support issues related to victim recovery.” But the opposite
7 is true. The purpose of this PAC is to serve Uber’s interests in closing the courthouse door and
8 limiting victims’ ability to sue the company. Shrouding that purpose in the language of
9 fairness is directly out of the playbook of tort reformers that I’ve outlined throughout my
10 academic career. Invoking “fair recovery” reinforces the notion that victims in Nevada are
11 currently being taken advantage of by their attorneys, which is reinforced by a decades-long
12 public relations campaign by “tort reformers.”

13 35. Similarly, the press release is full of the same misleading tropes that I
14 encountered countless times in my research: “billboard attorneys have co-opted the court
15 system,” there are “an unprecedented number of meritless lawsuits,” “[t]he system is rigged in
16 favor of billboard attorneys and against everyone else,” and so on. These are not based in
17 evidence and are crafted only to protect large corporations from lawsuits and deny ordinary
18 Nevadans competent representation and by extension their day in court.

19 **IV. Taken together, the wording of the initiative and its description, the public relations**
20 **campaign by its supporters, and decades of similar campaigns will significantly**
21 **mislead members of the public about the true effect of the proposal.**

22 36. In my expert view, the ballot initiative in Nevada at once builds on and is likely
23 to compound public misunderstanding about legal practices and relationships in modern
24 America. The description, media campaign, and rhetoric surrounding this ballot initiative are
25 closely tied to narratives and messaging promoted by the “tort reform” movement for
26 decades. The name of the PAC, the stated purpose of “Nevadans for Fair Recovery”, and the
27 media campaign surrounding the ballot’s passage will likely fundamentally mislead voters by
28 drawing on a set of well-established, preconceived notions of excessive litigation and greedy
attorneys.

37. Nevadans will be led to believe that supporting the initiative will lead to victims receiving *more* compensation for their injuries and violations of their rights. Nevadans will therefore think that this proposal is aligned with their notions of common sense and fairness. Yet, this perception is flawed, deceptive, and misleading. This ballot initiative would ensure victims receive *less* compensation for the harms done to them and restrict their access to the civil legal system. Without that critical context, many Nevadans will be unable to make an informed decision about whether or not to support the initiative.

38. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on April 6, 2024, at Santa Fe, New Mexico.

/s/ Michael McCann

Michael McCann

Exhibit A

August 1, 2023

Michael W. McCann

Gordon Hirabayashi Professor for the Advancement of Citizenship Emeritus
Department of Political Science University of Washington
Box 353530 Seattle, Washington 98195-3530
Cell: (206) 399-1881 mwmccann@uw.edu

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND

Ph.D. University of California, Berkeley, 1983, Political Science
M.A. University of California, Berkeley, 1978, Political Science
B.A. University of Florida, 1974, Political Science (Magna Cum Laude. Phi Beta Kappa)

PROFESSIONAL EMPLOYMENT

Professor, University of Washington, 1993-2022 (retired July 2022)
Associate Professor, University of Washington, 1988-1993
Assistant Professor, University of Washington, 1983-1988
Acting Assistant Professor, University of Washington, 1982-3

UNIVERSITY UNIT APPOINTMENTS AND AFFILIATIONS

Department of Political Science, Arts & Sciences, 100% faculty appointment
Department of Law, Societies, & Justice, Member at 0% faculty Appointment
Adjunct Faculty, UW School of Law
Faculty Associate, Harry Bridges Center for Labor Studies
Faculty Affiliate, UW Center for Human Rights

PRIMARY FIELDS OF EXPERTISE AND RESEARCH

Law & Politics (Legal Mobilization & Disputing, Politics of Rights, Law & Social Change,
Constitutional Law, Comparative Law & Courts, Social Control, Law & Media)
Political Theory (Critical Race Theory, American Thought & Culture, Modern European,
Feminist Theory)
American Politics (Political Culture, Political Institutions, Reform Politics, Labor Unions,
Workplace Politics, Social Movements and Interest Groups, Race & Gender Politics)
Comparative Politics (U.S. and Europe; Labor; Post-Colonialism; Comparative Analysis)

TEACHING EXPERIENCE

Law *in* Society (undergraduate)
Politics of Rights (graduate and undergraduate)
Law, Courts, and Politics (graduate and undergraduate)
Law, Politics, and Social Change (graduate and undergraduate)
Law and Social Control (graduate)
Comparative Legal Cultures (graduate and undergraduate)
U.S. Constitutional Law
Civil Rights and Liberties in the U.S.
American Political Thought and Culture
The Politics of Social Movements (graduate)
Identity, Resistance, and Collective Action (graduate)
Democratic Theory

ACADEMIC DISTINCTIONS & RESEARCH GRANTS

Post-Graduate Research Honors/Awards

2024 American Bar Foundation Fellows Outstanding Scholar Award. Presented “in recognition of outstanding research, mentorship, and service to the ABF.”

Harry J. Kalven Jr. Prize for Empirical Scholarship that has “contributed most effectively to the advancement of research in Law and Society.” Law & Society Association, 2023.

Honorable Mention, 2014 Article Prize, Law & Society Association, “Criminalizing Big Tobacco: Legal Mobilization and the Politics of Responsibility for Health Risks in the United States.” Co-authors William Haltom and Shauna Fisher. *Law & Social Inquiry*. 37 (2) 2012.

President (elected), (the U.S. based international) Law & Society Association, 2011-13.

Honorable Mention/Runner Up for “Best Conference Paper in 2009,” Law & Courts section of the American Political Science Association, for “Criminalizing Big Tobacco: Legal Mobilization, Mass Media, and the Politics of Responsibility for Health Risks in the United States.” w/ co-authors are William Haltom and Shauna Fisher.

Pi Sigma Alpha Award for the Best Paper presented at the 2009 annual conference of the Western Political Science Association, for “Criminalizing Big Tobacco: Legal Mobilization, Mass Media, and the Politics of Responsibility for Health Risks in the United States.” With co-authors are William Haltom and Shauna Fisher.

Wadsworth Publishing Award, 2006, from the Law and Courts section of the American Political Science Association, “given annually for a book or journal article, 10 years or older, that has made a lasting impression,” to *Rights at Work: Pay Equity Reform and the Politics of Legal Mobilization* (Chicago, 1994).

C. Herman Pritchett Prize for Best Book in 2004, from the Law and Courts section of the American Political Science Association, for *Distorting the Law: Politics, Media, and the Litigation Crisis*. Co-authored with William Haltom. (Chicago, 2004)

Herbert Jacob Prize for Best Book in 2004, presented at the 2005 annual meetings of the Law & Society Association, for *Distorting the Law: Politics, Media, and the Litigation Crisis*, w/ William Haltom (Chicago, 2004)

Gordon Hirabayashi Professorship for the Advancement of Citizenship at the University of Washington, 2001-2006, renewed in 2006, 2011, indefinitely

Law & Society Association Best Book Prize (later the Herb Jacob Prize) for best book on law and society published during 1994-96 (co-winner of the first biennial award). Awarded to *Rights at Work: Pay Equity Reform and the Politics of Legal Mobilization* (U. of Chicago Press, 1994).

C. Herman Pritchett Award for the Best Book published on the topic of Law and Courts in 1994. Awarded to *Rights at Work* by the Law and Courts section of the American Political Science Association (see above).

Honorable Mention/runner-up, C. Wright Mills Award for best book of 1994, awarded to *Rights at Work* by the Society for the Study of Social Problems, 1995

Post-Graduate Research Grants and Fellowships

Honorary Visiting Professor, Sciences Po and Ecole Pratique des Hautes Etudes, Paris, France. Winter, 2020 (also in 2015)

Co-PI, National Science Foundation, Collaborative Research and Interdisciplinary Postdoctoral Fellowships. Law and Social Sciences. With Emily Gade, Sarah Dreier, and Noah Smith. "British Control and Targeted Violence: A Systematic Archival Analysis of State Choices to Violate the Rights of Its Citizens." 2019. \$250,000.

Co-Leader, "Capitalism and Comparative Racialization." 2017-19. With Jack Turner, Megan Ming Francis, Vincente Rafael, and Moon-Ho Jung. \$175,000 Andrew W. Mellon Foundation John E. Sawyer Seminars on the Comparative Study of Cultures. Program for speaker series and competitive Dissertation and Postdoctoral Fellows.

Co-PI, National Science Foundation Doctoral Dissertation Improvement Grant, Law and Social Science Division, multiple PhD students – 1990, 2004, 2005, 2014, 2015, 2018

Lead Co-PI, Washington State Labor Council Research Award, for the Sea-Tac-Seattle Minimum Wage Campaign History Project, 2015. \$4000.

Lead Co-PI, UW Simpson Center for the Humanities 2015-2016 Public Scholarship/Community Engagement project entitled "The SeaTac-Seattle Minimum Wage Campaign History Project." \$30, 615. (Summer support for three graduate students and five undergrads).

Washington State Labor Council Research Award, for "The Tenuous Relationship between Civil Rights and Labor Union Activism in Washington State: Lessons for Future Policy Action Derived from the Past." \$9,000. Co PI with George Lovell. 2013-14.

National Science Foundation Grant, Law and Social Science Dissertation Fellowship and Mentoring Program. A joint ABE/NSF/LSA venture. (Co-PI with Laura Beth Nielsen, Malcolm Feeley, Robert. Nelson, Laura Gomez) 9/1/12-8/31/17. \$304,000.

National Science Foundation Research Grant, Law and Social Sciences Program. "A Union by Law: Filipino Cannery Workers and the Transpacific Struggle for Equal Rights." Co-PI w/ George Lovell. 2011-13. (\$275,000).

Law and Public Affairs Fellowship, Princeton University, 2011-12. Residential fellowship funded at over \$100,000 of support

Fellow, Society of Scholars, Simpson Center for the Humanities, University of Washington, 2010-11.

John Simon Guggenheim Foundation Fellowship, 2007-08 (\$40,000)

National Science Foundation Research Grant, Law and Social Science Program, "Public Interest Litigation in a Neoliberal Age: Law, Media, and the Politics of Responsibility." Lead Co-PI w/ William Haltom. 2005-2007. (\$88,000)

Faculty Leader, College University Initiative Fund award, \$170,000/yr for the Comparative Law and Society Studies Center and undergraduate Law, Societies, and Justice program, 2000-05. Approved permanent program funding 2005.

National Science Foundation Research Grant, Law and Social Science Program, "Law and Lore: Mass Media, Tort Reform, and the Social Construction of Legality," 1999-2001. Co-PI w/ William Haltom. (\$160,000)

Visiting Fellow, Japanese Society for the Promotion of Science, Kobe University, Spring, 1998

Royalty Research Fund Grant, "Law and Labor at the Margins: Alaskan Cannery Workers and the Changing Union Politics of Legal Mobilization," University of Washington, 1997-9 (\$28,000)

Research Grant PI, "Labor and Law at the Margins: Alaskan Cannery Workers and the Politics of Legal Mobilization," Center for Labor Studies, University of Washington, 1996 (\$2,500)

University of Washington Dean's research grant, 1993-1998 (\$9,000 annually)

Social Science Faculty Scholar Award, University of Washington, 1991-92 (\$7,000)

National Endowment for the Humanities Fellowship, Co-Director (w/ Lief Carter) of Summer Research Seminar for College Teachers, "Constitutionalism as a Civil Religion," University of Washington, 1991 (\$81,000)

National Science Foundation Research Grant, Law and Social Science Program, PI, "Rights at Work: Law and the Politics of Comparable Worth," 1989-1991 (\$72,000)

College of Arts and Sciences Distinguished Research Seminar, University of Washington, "Ideology, Identity, and Action," 1989

Graduate School Research Fund Award, University of Washington, "Challenging the Marketplace Logic of Law: Comparable Worth in the Courts," 1987-1988

Graduate School Research Fund Award, University of Washington, "Theories of Property and the Liberal Legal State," 1984-5

Post-Graduate Teaching and Mentoring

Marsha Landolt Distinguished Mentor Award, University of Washington, 2017 (honorable mention previously in 2014)

Stanton Wheeler Mentoring Award, Law and Society Association, 2017

Liberal Arts Professorship, College of Arts and Sciences, 1992-93 (one of two annual honorary chairs awarded for contributions to undergraduate education)

University Distinguished Teacher Award, 1988 (one of four instructors on the University of Washington campus awarded annually by the Alumni Association)

TYEE Nomination for Instructor of the Year, Classes of 1986, 1987, multiple later years (student choice of top 25 instructors)

RELEVANT SCHOLARLY RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS

Published Books

Union by Law: Filipino American Labor Activists, Rights Radicalism, and Racial Capitalism. With George Lovell. University of Chicago Press. 512 pp. In cloth and paper, May, 2020.

Choice Selection. Reviewed in *Dissent, Law & Society Review, Pacific Historical Review. Law & Social Inquiry.....*

Injury and Injustice: The Cultural Politics of Harm and Redress. Co-edited with Anne Bloom and David Engel. Cambridge University Press, 2018.

Fault Lines: Tort Law as Cultural Practice. Co-edited with David Engel. Stanford University Press. 2009.

Law and Social Movements: International Library of Law and Society, vol 15. Editor of book plus author of introduction and two included article chapters for Dartmouth/Ashgate series. 2006.

Distorting the Law: Politics, Media, and the Litigation Crises. Co-authored with William Haltom. 334 pp. Paperback and cloth. University of Chicago Press, 2004. (Winner of two Best Book awards, one from the Law & Society Association and the other from the American Political Science Association Law & Courts section)

Rights at Work: Pay Equity Reform and the Politics of Legal Mobilization. University of Chicago Press. 1994, simultaneous hard/paper cover release. 360 pp. 2nd ed. 1996. (Winner of two Best Book awards, from the Law & Society Association and the American Political Science Association, along with the Wadsworth Publishing Award for “lasting impression” ten or more years after publication, in 2004.)

Selected sections, including especially the “Introduction,” from the book have been reprinted in multiple edited volumes, in English and Spanish.

Judging the Constitution: Critical Essays on Judicial Lawmaking, primary co-editor (with Gerald L. Houseman) and sole author of introduction and separate essay chapter. Boston: Little, Brown/Scott, Foresman, paperback 1989. 453 pp.

Taking Reform Seriously: Perspectives on Public Interest Liberalism. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1986. 345 pp., paperback release 1987

Published Journal Articles and Book Chapters

“No Separate Peace: Intersectional Coalition Solidarity and Rights Radicalism.” In *Research Handbook on Law and Social Movements.* Ed Steven Boutcher, et al. Forthcoming 2022.

“Beyond the Binary: The Interdependence of Authoritarian and Liberal Legalities in Racial Capitalist Regimes.” With Filiz Kahraman. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science* Volume 18, 2022.

“Law and Social Movements: Old Themes and New Directions for Research.” In *The Use of Law by Social Movements.* Ed. Julie Ringelheim, ed. October, 2020.

“When Might Claims of ‘Too Much Litigation’ Be Other than Political Sloganeering?”. With William Haltom. *Onati Socio-Legal Series*, 2020

“A. Philip Randolph: Radicalizing Rights at the Intersection of Race and Class,” in Melvin L. Rogers and Chip Turner, eds., *African American Political Thought: A Collected History*. University of Chicago Press. 2020.

“Listening for the Songs of Others: Insiders, Outsiders, and the Legal Marginalization of the Laboring Underclass in America.” In Mary Nell Trautner, ed., *Insiders, Outsiders, Injuries, & Law: Revisiting "The Oven Bird's Song."* New York: Cambridge University Press. 2018.

“Introduction.” (lead author). *Injury and Injustice: The Cultural Politics of Harm and Redress*. Primary essay author. Book co-edited with Anne Bloom and David Engel. Cambridge University Press, 2018.

“A Transformative Politics of Rights: Lessons about Legal Leveraging and Its Limitations,” w/ George Lovell. Paul Gray, ed. *From the Streets to the State: Changing the World by Taking Power*. SUNY Press. 2018.

“Seeing Through the Smoke: Adversarial Legalism and U.S. Tobacco Politics,” w/ William Haltom. In *The State of Adversarial Legalism*, Eds. Tom Burke and Jeb Barnes. New York: Routledge, 2017.

“On Labor Scholarship and Labor Activism.” *Perspectives on Politics*, Invited “Praxis” section article. 8000 words. Summer 2016.

“Academics, Advocates, and Activists: The Puzzles of Praxis.” *Law & Courts*, solicited 3000 word essay. Spring 2016.

“Covering Legal Mobilization: A Bottom-Up Political History of *Wards Cove v. Atonio*.” w/ George Lovell and Kirstine Taylor. *Law & Social Inquiry*, Vol. 41 (1) Winter 2016. Pp. 61-99.

“Preface: *The New Legal Realism, Vols I & II*.” 5000 word preface to each volume. Eds. Elizabeth Mertz, Stewart Macauley, Bryant Garth, Heinz Klug, Sally Merry, Kim Scheppele. Cambridge University Press, 2016.

“Litigation, Mass Media, and the Campaign to Criminalize the Firearms Industry.” w/ William Haltom. *Onati Socio-legal Series* [online], 4 (4), 715-739. 2015. Available from: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2478756>

“Rights and Ritual: The Past, Present and Future of Rights Mobilization Scholarship.” w/ Jeff Dudas and Jon Goldberg-Hiller, in Austin Sarat and Patricia Ewick, eds. *The Wiley Handbook of Law and Society*, Wiley, publication winter 2015.

"Money, Sex, and Power: Gender Discrimination and the Thwarted Legacy of the 1964 Civil Rights Act." Based on keynote Address for Symposium, "Revisiting Sex: Gender and Sex Discrimination Fifty Years after the Civil Rights Act." *University of Denver Law Review* Vol 91 (4):779-802. 2014.

“The Personal is Political: On Twentieth Century Activist Lawyers for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties.” *Tulsa Law Review* Vol. 49, Number 2 (2014).

“The Unbearable Lightness of Rights: On Sociolegal Inquiry in the Global Era.” *Law & Society Review*, Vol 48 (20): 245-273. (2014). Along with three article responses by eminent sociolegal scholars Sally Engle Merry, David Nelken, and Susan Silbey.

“Legal Rights” *International Encyclopedia of the Social and Behavioral Science*, Elsevier Science. 2nd ed. 2013.

“Going Global: Reflections by an American Fellow Traveler” *Law & Courts*. Fall, 2012.

“Expanding the Horizons of Horizontal Inquiry into Rights Consciousness: An Engagement with David Engel.” 19 *Indiana Journal of Law and Globalization*. 467 (2012)

“Inclusion, Exclusion, and the Politics of Rights Mobilization in the Experiences of Asian Americans.” *Seattle Journal for Social Justice* Vol 11:1 Fall, 2012

“Criminalizing Big Tobacco: Legal Mobilization and the Politics of Responsibility for Health Risks in the United States.” Co-authors William Haltom and Shauna Fisher. *Law & Social Inquiry*. 37 (2) 2012. (Winner of WPSA Best Paper award and two honorable mentions for best paper by LSA and APSA).

“Measuring Humanity: Rights in the 24th Century.” With Lief Carter, in Peter Robson and Jessica Silbey, eds., *Law and Justice on the Small Screen*, pp. 15-32. Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2012.

“Mass Torts: Reassessing the Legacy of Regulation through Litigation.” Co-author with William Haltom. Chapter in Keven T. McGuire, ed. *New Directions in Judicial Politics*. New York: Routledge, 2012.

“Should We Take Seriously the Conservative Communitarian Critique of Rights?” w/ Stuart A. Scheingold. *Studies in Law, Politics, and Society*. Ed. Austin Sarat. Vol. 56 pp. 199-231. Emerald: Bingley, UK, 2012.

“Law and Society,” 4000 word entry in the *International Encyclopedia of Political Science*, 2011.

“Interests, Institutions, and Ideas: Thinking Comparatively about High Courts,” *Political Science Quarterly*. 2009. 62 (4): 834

“Rocked but Still Rolling: The Enduring Institution of Capital Punishment in Historical and Comparative Perspective.” With David Johnson, in Austin Sarat and Charles Ogletree, eds. *Where Are We on the Road to Abolition?* pp. 139-82. New York: New York University Press, 2009.

“Framing Fast Food Litigation: Tort Claims, Mass Media, and the Politics of Responsibility in the United States.” w/ William Haltom. In Engel and McCann, eds. *Fault Lines: Tort Law as Cultural Practice*. pp. 97-118 Stanford Univ. Press, 2009.

- "Nothing to Believe In: Lawyers in Contemporary Films about Public Interest Litigation." With William Haltom. In Austin Sarat and Stuart Scheingold, eds., *The Cultural Lives of Cause Lawyers*. pp. 425-62. Stanford University Press, 2008.
- "Ordinary Heroes vs. Fallen Lawyers: Public Interest Litigation in the Movies," with William Haltom, *Law and Social Inquiry*, Fall, 2008. Vol. 33: 4, 1043-1078.
- "Litigation and Legal Mobilization." In Keith Whittington, Daniel Keleman, and Gregory Caldera, eds., *The Oxford Handbook of Law and Litigation*. pp. 522-40. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008.
- "Dr. Strangelove: Or How I Learned to Stop Worrying and Love Methodology," *Studies in Law, Politics, and Society*, Ed. Austin Sarat, Boston; JAI/Elsevier Press. 2007, pp. 19-60.
- "Law and Social Movements," *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*. Vol 2, Fall 2006. pp 17-38.
- "On Analyzing Legal Culture," w/ William Haltom, article in symposium addressing our book *Distorting the Law: Politics, Media, and the Litigation Crisis*. *Law & Social Inquiry* 2006. 31:739-56.
- "Retrenchment...and Resurgence? Mapping the Changing Context of Movement Lawyering in the United States," w/ Jeffrey Dudas, in Austin Sarat and Stuart A. Scheingold, eds., *Cause Lawyers and Social Movements*, pp. 37-59. Palo Alto: Stanford University Press, 2006.
- "Legal Rights Consciousness: A Challenging Analytical Tradition." In Benjamin Fleury-Steiner and Laura Beth Nielsen, eds., *The New Civil Rights Research*. pp. ix-xxx. Dartmouth-Ashgate. 2006.
- "ATLA Shrugged: Why Plaintiffs' Lawyers Are Not Effective Public Defenders of Their Own Causes." with William Haltom. In *The Worlds that Cause Lawyers Make: Structure and Agency in Legal Practice*, ed. by Austin Sarat and Stuart Scheingold. pp. 425-62. (Palo Alto: Stanford University Press, spring 2005).
- "A Tangled Legacy: Federal Courts and the Politics of Democratic Inclusion," with George Lovell. Chapter 12 in Christina Wolbrecht and Rodney Hero, with Peri E. Arnold and Alvin B. Tillery, eds., *The Politics of Democratic Inclusion*. pp. 257-20. (Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 2004).
- "Law and Social Movements: Emerging Research Approaches," in *A Law & Society Reader*, edited by Austin Sarat. pp. 506-22. (London: Blackwell/ Dartmouth, 2004).
- "Java Jive: The Genealogy of a Juridical Icon," w/ William Haltom and Anne Bloom, "Special Edition on Law & Society Research," *University of Miami Law Review* Volume 56, number 1 October, 2001, pp. 113-176.
- "Rights, Legal" w/ Stuart Scheingold, in the *International Encyclopedia of the Social and Behavioral Science*, Elsevier Science. Pp. 13334-39. Fall, 2001.
- "New Property Rights Debates: The Dialectics of 'Naming, Blaming, and Claiming,'" w/ Sarah Pralle. In William Robbins and James Foster, eds., *Land in the American West: Private Claims and the Common Good* (University of Washington Press, 2000)

"How the Supreme Court Matters for American Politics: New Institutional Perspectives," in Howard Gillman and Cornell Clayton, eds., *The New Institutionalism and the Politics of the Supreme Court*. pp. 63-97. (University of Kansas Press, 1999).

"Legal Mobilization and Social Reform: Looking Beyond the American Experience." *Waseda Journal of Comparative Law*. Vol. 33 (1) 1999 pp. 165-190.

"Social Movement Scholarship: New, Old, and Continuing Approaches." *Kobe University Law Review*. Volume 31 1999 pp. 90-116.

"Law and Political Struggle: Puzzles, Paradoxes, and Promises for Future Research" in Stephen E. Gottlieb and David Schultz, eds., *Leveraging the Law: Using Courts to Achieve Social Change*. (Peter Lang, 1998). (This book largely focuses on an intellectual debate between Professor Gerald Rosenberg and me).

"Beyond the 'Lure of Litigation': Toward a Relational Analysis of Cause Lawyering," with Helena Silverstein. In Austin Sarat and Stuart Scheingold, eds. *Cause Lawyering: Political Commitments and Professional Responsibilities*, pp. 261-292. (Oxford University Press, 1998).

"Law, Political Process, and Social Movements." In Andrew McFarland and Anne Costain, eds, *Social Movements and the Political Process in the U.S.* (Rowman and Littlefield, 1998).

"Legends of Law: Media Coverage of Personal Injury Lawsuits and the Mass Production of Legal Knowledge," *Law and Courts* (Summer, 1997).

"Gaining Even When Losing: Legal Advocacy and the Politics of Pay Equity." In Ronnie Steinberg and Deb Figart, eds., *The Politics and Practice of Pay Equity* (Temple University Press, 1998).

"How Does Law Matter for Social Movements?" in *How Does Law Matter?* ed. by Bryant Garth, Felice Levine, Austin Sarat. pp. 76-108. (Northwestern University Press, 1998).

"Causal versus Constitutive Explanations: Or On the Difficulty of Being So Positive..." *Law and Social Inquiry*, v. 21, no. 2 (1996), pp. 457-482.

Reprinted in *Law and Social Movements*, ed. Michael McCann, Ch. 3. Dartmouth/Ashgate, 2006. And elsewhere.

"It's Only Law and Courts, But I Like It," *Law and Courts*, (Spring, 1996), pp. 6-9..

"Legal Tactics and Everyday Resistance: A Political Science Assessment," with Tracey March. *Studies in Law, Politics, and Society* vol 15 (Winter, 1996), pp. 207-236.

Reprinted as "El Derecho y Las Formas Cotidianas de Resistencia: Una Evaluacion Sociopolitica," in Mauricio Garcia Villegas, ed., *Sociologica Juridica: Teoria y Sociologia del Derecho en Estados Unidos*. Universidad Nacional de Colombia, 2001.

"As a Matter of (Social) Fact." In "Symposium: Social Facts and Constitutional Change." *Law and Courts* (Summer, 1995).

"Social Movements and the American State: Legal Mobilization as a Strategy for Democratization," co-authored with Helena Silverstein, in David Langille, Gregory Albo, and Leo Panitch, eds. *A Different Kind of State*. (Toronto: Oxford University Press, 1993), pp. 131-143.

"Resistance, Reconstruction, and Romance in Legal Scholarship," *Law and Society Review* 26 no.4 (1992), pp. 733-750.

"Reform Litigation on Trial," *Law and Social Inquiry* 17 no. 4 (Fall, 1992), pp. 715-743.
Reprinted in Walter Murphy, C. Herman Pritchett, and Lee Epstein, eds., *Courts, Judges and Politics: An Introduction to the Judicial Process* (McGraw-Hill, 2002).

"The Legal Construction of Privacy," in Kermit L. Hall, ed., *Oxford Companion to the Supreme Court of the United States*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1992.

"Legal Mobilization and Social Movements: Notes on Theory and Its Applications," *Studies in Law, Politics, and Society* 11 (1991), pp. 225-254.
Reprinted in *Law and Social Movements*, ed. Michael McCann, Ch. 1. Dartmouth/Ashgate, 2006.

"Equal Protection for Social Inequality: Race and Class in American Constitutional Ideology," in McCann and Houseman, eds., *Judging the Constitution: Critical Essays on Judicial Lawmaking*. (Boston: Little, Brown, 1989), pp. 191-224.

"Public Interest Liberalism and the Modern State," *Polity* (Winter, 1988), pp. 62-88.

"Equal Opportunity vs. Equal Results," *Law and Social Policy* Vol.II (Fall, 1988), pp. 141-176.

"Resurrection and Reform: Perspectives on Property in the American Constitutional Tradition," *Politics and Society* 13 (1984), pp. 143-176.

Manuscripts in Preparation for Publication or Under Review

"Racial Capitalism, Legal Hybridity, and New Forms of Autocratic Legalism: Implications for Human Rights Politics." Forthcoming in Gad Barzilai, ed. *The Rule of Law and Political Freedom*. Edward Elgar. Forthcoming 2024

"The Alchemy of Care and Rights: Reflections on the Praxis of M4BL" Essay in development for publication...somewhere.

"How States Justify Political Internment: The Case of Northern Ireland." With Sarah Dreier and Emily Gade. Funded by NSF research grant. Planned submission to *Law & Society Review*, winter 2023.

"Carlos Bulosan: The Ambiguous Promise of Rights in the American Empire." Essay in preparation for either edited book or submission to *Law, Culture, and Humanities*.

Other Research Productions

The SeaTac-Seattle Minimum Wage Campaign History Project.

<http://content.lib.washington.edu/projects/seal5/index.html> This digital web-based archive reflects eighteen months of research to collect over sixty oral histories along with news articles, manifestos, pamphlets, pictures, and the like. McCann initiated the project, generated the funding, and, as lead Co-Director, led a team of five graduate students and five undergraduates, with co-director Labor Archivist Conor Casey. 2016.

Book Reviews (Selected)

"The Politics of Skill," a 3000+ word engagement with Nataska Iskander, *Does Skill Make Us Human?* Solicited for the 'International Review' section of *Law & Social Inquiry*. Forthcoming 2023.

Kenneth Mack, *Representing the Race*, and Leigh Ann Wheeler, *How Sex Became a Civil Liberty*, reviewed in "The Personal is Political: On Twentieth Century Activist Lawyers for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties." *Tulsa Law Review* 2014.

Keith Bybee, *Bench Press: The Collision of Courts, Politics, and Mass Media*. *Law and Politics Book Review*. Fall, 2009.

Gary Minda, *Boycott in America*, in *Law and Politics Book Review*, fall 2000

Patricia Ewick and Susan S. Silbey, *The Common Place of Law*, in the *American Journal of Sociology*, fall, 2000.

Rogers M. Smith, *Civic Ideals: Conflicting Visions of Citizenship in U.S. History*, in *Law and Politics Book Review*. February, 1998.

Elaine Sorenson, *Comparable Worth: Is It a Worthy Policy?*, and Steven E. Rhoads, *Incomparable Worth: Pay Equity Meets the Market*, in the *American Political Science Review*, 89 no. 2 (June, 1995)

"Review Essay: Undergraduate Texts on Judicial Politics and the Legal System." Comprehensive essay written as series editor based on individual reviews of 21 texts by other scholars. *Law and Politics Book Review*. Feb-March, 1995

David Kairys, *With Liberty and Justice for Some: A Critique of the Conservative Supreme Court*, in *Legal Studies Forum*, XVIII (no. 2), 1994

Linda M. Blum, *Between Feminism and Labor*, in *Women and Politics*, 1992

Jennifer Nedelsky, *Private Property and American Constitutionalism: The Madisonian Framework and Its Legacy*, in *Law and Politics Book Review*, 1991

Michael Kammen, *Sovereignty and Liberty: Constitutional Discourse in American Culture*, in *American Political Science Review*, 85 Spring, 1991

Rogers M. Smith, *Liberalism and American Constitutional Law*, in *American Political Science Review* 80 (1986), pp. 682-683

CONFERENCE PAPERS, PRESENTATIONS, & TALKS (selected, last 15 years)

(Key: AALS-Association of American Law Schools; AHA-American Historical Association; APSA-American Political Science Association; CULJP-Consortium of Undergraduate Law and Justice Programs; LSA-Law and Society Association; MPSA-Midwest Political Science Association; WCLSS-West Coast Law and Society Scholars; WPSA-Western Political Science Association;

August 23-26, 2022. Opening keynote address, Bergen Exchanges for Law and Social Transformation, “Racial Capitalism, Legal Hybridity, and New Forms of Autocratic Legalism: Implications for Human Rights Politics.” Also, a presentation on forthcoming article, “No Separate Peace.”

July, 2022. LSA annual meetings in Lisbon, Portugal. Three presentations.

May, 2021. Law and Society Association annual meeting. Author Meets Readers for *Union by Law*; Chair, “Legal Mobilization: Changing Movements, Diverse Contexts.”

Authors Meet Critics, on McCann and Lovell, *Union by Law*, APSA. September 12, 2020.

February-March (5 weeks). Five talks as Honorary Visiting Professor at Sciences Po and Ecole Pratique des Hautes Etudes, Paris. 2020

March, 2018. Five academic talks, including the Opening and Closing Keynote Addresses for international conference on “Law and Social Change.” Brussels, Belgium. The talks aligned with time spent as Visiting Scholar, University of Louvain, Belgium.

2016-20— Multiple presentations at APSA, LSA annual meetings both summers.

2014-16—Presentations at book conferences for New Legal Realism (Irvine), Injury as Cultural Practice (Loyola Law), and Carlos Bulosan Centennial (UW) as well as at LSA (2), WPSA (2), an APSA annual meetings. Two weeks of Distinguished Global Law Professor at Haifa Law School (Israel) and one week at L’Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales (Paris).

2013-4 – Three keynote addresses for conferences (U. Denver; CULJP; LSA); 13 presentations at 6 conferences (APSA, LSA, MPSA, WPSA, WCCLSA, CULJP); five solo invited talks (ABF, U. Chicago, others).

2012-13 – Papers delivered at APSA and LSA annual meetings, an international conference in Onati, Spain, as well as five invited university talks (Utah, American, Emory, ABF)

2011-12 – Ten invited university presentations (Harvard, Cornell, Penn, Princeton, Pittsburgh, Lafayette College, U Mass and Amherst College, UC Irvine) and four professional conference (WPSA, APSA, MPSA, LSA) talks on a variety of topics. Regular presentations at LAPA (Princeton).

2010-11 – Presentations at APSA, WPSA, and LSA meetings.

2009-10 – Presentations at the APSA and LSA meetings. Keynote address at conference on “Legal Mobilization” in Athens, Greece and the Seminario Nacional sobre Justicia Constitucional, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Invited talk UC Berkeley.

2008-09 – Presentations at LSA, AHA, and American Bar Foundation (Chicago) meetings. Invited talk Northeastern Legal Studies and Harvard as well as U. Oregon.

2007-08 – Presentations at LSA and WPSA meetings. Invited talks at Syracuse Law School and University of Denver Political Science and Law School.

2006-07 – Presentations at LSA and SPSA meetings. Invited talks at University of Denver Law School, New York Law School, and University of British Columbia Law School.

2005-06 – Presentations at APSA and LSA. Invited talks at University of Connecticut Law School and Greenberg Annual Lecture at City College of New York.

2004: “Legal Mobilization and Possibilities of Justice.” Keynote address at the international Conference Honoring the 10th Anniversary of the South African Constitution, sponsored by the South African Journal on Human Rights, University of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, July.

Talks at universities by invitation 2003-5: Princeton; UC Berkeley, JSP; University of Wisconsin; University of Massachusetts, Amherst; Baldy Center, SUNY Buffalo; Stanford Law School; Seattle University Law School; University of Southern California; UCLA Law School; McGeorge Law School; New York Law School.

SERVICE

Departmental

Chair, Political Science Department, 1996-2000, 2009-10, 2017-18
Member, Promotion Review Committee for Chip Turner, 2022
Chair, Promotion Review Committee for Sophia Wallace, 2021
Chair, Promotion Review Committee for Susan Whiting, 2020
Member, Political Theory Faculty Search Committee, 2019
Chair, Promotion Review Committee for Rachel Cichowski 2015-16
Member, Promotion Committee for Megan Ming Frances 2015-16
Member, Promotion Review Committee for Becca Thorpe, 2014-15
Member, American Politics Faculty Search Committee, 2015
Senior Faculty Reviewer for Matt Barreto, WISER Director Role, 2014
Director, Department Honors Program 2013-17
Member, Promotion Review Committee for George Lovell, 2012
Chair, Promotion Review Committee for Naomi Murakawa, 2012
Member, Promotion review committee for Chris Parker, 2009
Chair, Race and Ethnicity Politics Search Committee, 2003-05, 2008-09
Member, Department Personnel Review Committee, 2002--
Member, Political Science Development Committee, 2002-04
Chair, Public Law Search Committee 1999-2001
Field Director, Public Law, most years 1990-
Member, Task Force on Graduate Experience, 1995-1998
Member, Senior American Politics Search Committee, 1994-5
Acting Department Chair, 1993 (summer)
Graduate Program Coordinator, 1992-1994

Member, Graduate Program Committee, 1991-1994
 Co-Director, End-of-Program Undergraduate Assessment Project, 1990-91
 Member, Political Theory Search Committee, 1990-91, 2001-2
 Member, American Politics Search Committee, 1987-1988
 Member, College Committee for Department Review, 1987
 Chair, Undergraduate Program Committee, 1985-1988
 Director, Undergraduate Honors Program, 1983-1988
 Assistant to Department Chair, 1985-1986
 Member, Department Executive Committee, 1985-1988, 1992-
 Member, Political Theory Search Committee, 1983-85, 2001-2

University

Co- Chair, and member, President's Advisory Committee on Trademarks and Licensing (monitoring corporate social responsibility in sweatshop apparel production), 2016-2022.
 Co-Director, SeaTac-Seattle Minimum Wage History Project, a collaborative project to construct a web-based archive of these campaigns, 2014-16.
 Director, Harry Bridges Center for Labor Studies, UW 2014-16, 2016-8
 Member, Director Search Committee, Disability Studies Program, 2013, 2018
 Member, Arts and Sciences Elected Faculty Council, advisory to Dean on faculty promotion and policy matters, 2012-2016, Chair of Council, 2015-16
 Member, UW Center for Human Rights Steering Committee, 2008-
 Co-director, LSJ Rome program in Comparative Law, most years 2006-2020
 Founder and Director, Comparative Law and Society Studies Center and graduate certificate program, 2000-2010
 Founder and Director, Law, Societies & Justice undergraduate program, 2000-2010
 Chair, Sociology Chair Search Committee, 2008
 Co-Chair, Law School Dean Search Committee, 2007-08
 Member, Committee for Review of Law School Dean 2006-07
 Chair, Anthropology Chair Search Committee, 2004
 Chair, "Governance & Compliance Subcommittee," NCAA Accreditation, 2004-5
 Member, Promotion and Tenure committee, UW Law School, 2003-4
 Elected Member, College Task Force for Assessment of Budget Priorities, 2001-2
 Director, Society and Justice, 1994-5, 1998-9
 P. I./Leader, Tools for Transformation project in creating a Law, Society and Justice program on campus (\$160,000 grant), 1999-2001
 Member, Brotman Teaching Award Committee, 1999
 Member, Organizing Committee for Earl and Edna Stice Lectureship, 1992--
 Chair, Committee for selection of Chair for History Department, 1997
 Member, Harry Bridges Program in Labor Studies faculty Standing Committee, various years 1994-2001, various years 2003-
 Member, NEH Seminar on "Multiculturalism in the Classroom," 1996-8
 Member, Committee for selection of Chair for Sociology Department, 1992-3
 Member, Search Committee for Director of Society & Justice, 1987-1988
 Member, Hilén Professor Search Committee, 1987-89
 Member, Society and Justice Standing Committee, 1986-
 Member, Task Force on University Assessment, 1987-8
 Member, Committee for Social Sciences Major, University Extension, 1986
 Member, Social Sciences Council, 1983-1985

Public (very selective)

Ongoing public engagement in many forums on a wide range of issues related to workers' rights, racial and gender inequality, and social justice
Recognized by Washington State Governor Christine Gregoire by declaration of Michael McCann Appreciation Day, October 15, 2010
Participated in writing amicus briefs for half dozen cases involving race, gender, or sexuality discrimination heard by the US Supreme Court, 2009-2013
Lead guitar, *Rococo Blues*, 9pc Seattle blues/R&B/jazz band that played local university events, political and charitable fundraisers, and music clubs, 1994-2008. Later developed into new, smaller group, *bluesalt and Bluetopia*.
Speaker/interviewee, variety of Seattle-based and national television and radio (several times on NPR) interviews on numerous subjects. Continuous.
Associated Trial Lawyers of America, Education Program, Talks on the politics of tort reform. ATLA annual meetings, Toronto, July, 2005.
Member, Council for Public Legal Education, ongoing organization committed to public education about law and access to justice.
The National Faculty program for instructional work with secondary public school teachers, especially regarding the teaching of law.. Several trips and projects throughout the country. Periodic through 1990s.
Consultant (unpaid) for various labor, women's, civil right, and legal advocacy groups
Public Lecture Series on the "Constitution and Public Policy" (lectures on Iran/Contra; civil rights; etc.), League of Women Voters and other local groups
Faculty Organizer, Seattle Conference on Central America, 1986 (and subsequent events)
Moderator, "Controversies in the Constitution" lecture/debate series, Associated Students of the University of Washington, 1984.

PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIP AND SERVICE

Senior Member, Wheeler Research Committee, American Bar Foundation 2009-
Associate Editor, *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*. 2021- (Editorial board member 2015 –)
Chair, LSA Wheeler Mentoring Award Committee, 2021-22, 2022-23
Member, Committee to Rewrite By-Laws, LSA, 2016-17
External Reviewer, UCLA Center for Labor Studies, 2017-18
External Reviewer, Department of Political Science, University of Illinois, 2015
External Reviewer, Department of Political Science, University of Utah, 2015
Member, Search Committee for new Executive Office/r, Law & Society Assn, 2015-16
Local Arrangements Chair, Law & Society Association meetings, Seattle, 2015
President, Law & Society Association 2011-13 (lots of activities)
Chair, Task Force on Labor Issues, Law & Society Association, 2010-11
Member, Corwin Prize for Best Dissertation, APSA, 2010-11
Member, Pritchett Prize for Best Book, Law & Courts of APSA, 2010-11
Member, "Best Article Prize" committee. Law & Courts section of APSA 2009-10.
Founding Executive Committee, West Coast Retreat for Law & Society Scholars 2005--
Keynote Speaker, Northeastern Retreat for Law and Society Scholars, Amherst, 2008
Program Co-Chair, 2006 Law & Society Association annual meetings, Baltimore
Secretary, Consortium of Undergraduate Law and Justice Programs, 2005-2007.
Program Chair, 2005 Consortium of Undergraduate Law and Justice Programs annual meeting, Las Vegas (June 1)
Co-Organizer, West Coast Retreat for Law & Society Scholars, Berkeley, CA 2005 (Keynote speaker also); 2007 in Hawaii; 2009 Stanford; 2011 Southwestern Law
Chair, Mentoring and Teaching Award, APSA "Law & Courts" section, 2004-05

Organizer, Mini-Conference on "Law and/as Popular Culture," Law & Courts section, American Political Science Association, Philadelphia, August 2003.
 Founding Co-Member, Law and Society Association 40th Anniversary Campaign
 Chair, Development Committee, Law and Society Association (2002-2005)
 Chair, Judicature Paper Prize, Law and Courts section of APSA (2000-2001)
 Chair, Kalven Prize Award Committee, Law and Society Association (2000-2001)
 Executive Committee, Western Political Science Association, 1999-2001
 Member, Selection Committee for Lifetime Achievement Award, Law & Courts Section, 1998-9
 Chair, Legal Education Committee, Law and Society Association, 1996-9
 Local Arrangements Co-Chair, 1999 Annual Meetings, Western Political Science Association
 Member, Strategic Priorities Review Committee, Law & Society Association, 1996-8
 Member, Board of Trustees, Law and Society Association, 1996-98
 Member, Executive officer nominating committee, Western Political Science Association, 1995-1998
 Chair, Executive officer nominating committee, Law and Courts section of APSA, 1994-95
 Member, *Law and Society Review* Editorial Advisory Board. Various years 1993-
 Member, Program Committee for Law and Society Association annual meeting, 1995
 Section Coordinator, Judicial Politics Section, Western Political Science Association annual meetings, Anaheim, CA., 1995
 Member, *Law and Politics Book Review* editorial board, 1994-1999
 Review Series Editor, Judicial Process Texts, *Law and Politics Book Review*, 1994-5
 Chair, C. Herman Pritchett book award for the Law and Courts section of the APSA, 1993-4
 Section Coordinator, Judicial Politics Section, Western Political Science Association annual meetings, Anaheim, CA., 1987
 Section Co-Coordinator, "Law, Courts, and Judicial Process," American Political Science Association annual meetings, San Francisco, 1989-1990
 Section Executive Committee, "Law, Courts, and Judicial Process," American Political Science Association, 1992-1994
 Member, Law and Society Association
 Member, American Political Science Association, Law & Courts section
 Member, Western Political Science Association
 Manuscript Reviewer for a host of leading academic journals and university presses.

DISSERTATIONS SUPERVISED (* published as book by a major press)

Wayne Sugas. 1985. "A Study of Mass Insurgency: The Ratepayers' Revolt"
 Tim Cole. 1987. "United States Leadership and the Liberal Community of States" *(now Professor and Chair at University of Maine)
 Selma Sonntag. 1987. "Compromising on Language Policy" (Professor, Humboldt State University; retired as Affiliate Prof. at U. of Colorado, Boulder)
 Steve Livingston. 1990. "The Media, Terrorism, and the State: Reconsidering the Terrorism Spectacle" (Professor, Georgetown University)*
 Kerry Hunter. 1989. (Chair) "Fantasy in American Strategic Policy: The Strategic Defense Initiative" (Professor, University of Montana)*
 Alec Stone, 1990. "The Birth of Judicial Politics in France" (Professor, Yale Law School and then National University of Singapore)*
 John Gilliom. 1990. "The Dangers of Safety: Employee Drug Testing, Social Control, and Law" (Professor, and Dean, Ohio University, now retired)*
 Dennis Hart. 1991. "From Tradition to Consumption: The Rise of Materialist Culture in Korea" (Professor, Kent State U)*
 Helena Silverstein. (Chair) 1992. "Unleashing Rights: Law and the Politics of the Animal Rights Movement" (Professor and Chair, Lafayette University)*

- Kathi Weeks. 1992. "The Standpoint Theory: Modernism, Postmodernism, and Theory"
(Associate Professor, Duke University)*
- Timothy Jeske. 1993. "Collective Action & the AIDS Epidemic: Seattle's GLBT Movement"
(Professor, Yakima Valley CC)
- Edward Fox. 1993. "Federalism and Health Care Policymaking" (?)
- Bill Lyons. 1995. "Taking Community Seriously: Policing Reform in Southeast Seattle"
(Professor, Illinois State University)*
- Patricia Smith. 1995. "Democratizing East Germany" (chose non-academic career)
- Robert Van Dyk. (Chair) 1995. "Challenging Choice" (Professor, University of Pacific)*
- Regina Lawrence. 1997. "The Politics of Force: Media and the Construction of Police Brutality."
(Portland State, now Professor and Dean, University of Oregon)*
- Lisa Miller. 1999. "The Changing Face of Crime Control: Project Weed and Seed" (Professor,
Rutgers University)*
- Beth Harris. (Chair) 1999. "'The Right-To-A-Home': Legal Services Lawyers and the Politics of
Advocacy for Children of the Homeless" (retired Associate Professor, Ithaca College)*
- Judy Aks. (Chair) 2000. "Rights, Intersectionality, and Native American Women: Case Studies
from the U.S. and Canada." (chose non-academic career)*
- Leonard Feldman. 2001. "Homelessness and the Public Sphere: The Politics of Displacement and
the Domestication of Citizenship" (Associate Professor, Hunter College)*
- Patricia Woods. 2001. "The Israeli High Court, Religious Courts, and Women's Rights: A
Political-Institutionalist Analysis" (Associate Professor, University of Florida)*
- Sarah Pralle. (Chair) 2001. "Branching Out, Digging In: Environmental Advocacy and Agenda
Setting." (Associate Professor, Syracuse University)*
- Tamir Moustafa. 2002. "Judicial Independence in the Authoritarian State: The Case of Egypt in
Comparative Perspective" (at University of Wisconsin, now Professor Simon Fraser U.)*
- Anne Bloom. (Co-Chair) 2002. "Taking on Goliath: Transnational Workers Rights Litigation"
(now Director of the Civil Justice Research Initiative, UC Berkeley).
- Lauren Basson. 2002. "White Enough to Be American? Race Mixing, Indigenous People, and the
Boundaries of State and Nation." (Professor, Cornish College of the Arts).*
- Jeffrey Dudas. (Chair) 2003. "Rights, Resentment, and Social Change: The Politics of Treaty
Rights" (Professor, University of Connecticut)*
- Claire Rasmussen. 2003. "Bound To Be Free" (Associate Professor, University of Delaware)*
- Margaret Hobart. (Chair) 2003. "Domestic Violence & Legal Administration: A Tale of Two
Cities." (chose government leadership position)
- Scott Lemieux (Chair). 2004. "On Legal Counter-mobilization." (Associate Teaching Prof, UW)*
- Elizabeth Brown. 2006. "Race, Crime, and the Politics of Juvenile Justice: A Geography of
(Neo)Liberal Practices." (Associate Professor, San Francisco State University).*
- Glenn Mackin. 2006. "From Clients to Citizens: How to Combine Democracy and Social
Welfare" (Associate Professor and Chair, Eastman School, University of Rochester)*
- Ki-Young Shin. 2006. "Women's Rights Advocacy in Japan and Korea" *(Ochanomizu
University, Japan)*
- Brian Mello. 2006. "Evaluating Social Movement Impacts: Labor and the Politics of State-
Society Relations" (Associate Professor, Muhlenberg College)
- Lauren Basson. 2006. "White Enough to be American? Race Mixing, Indigenous People, and the
Boundaries of State and Nation" (Associate Professor, Cornish College of Music)*
- Iza Hussin, (Chair) 2007. "The Politics of Islamic Law: Local Elites, Colonial Authority and the
Making of the Muslim State" (Winner of a dissertation prize; took position at U. Mass
Amherst, moved to U. of Chicago, now Associate Professor at Cambridge Univ)*
- Rose Ernst. 2007. "Standing at the Crossroads: Intersectional Roots, Realities, and Responses of
the Welfare Rights Movement to Racial Frames" (Seattle University)*

Yuksel Sezgin. 2007. (Co-chair) “The State’s Response to Legal Pluralism: The Case of Religious Law and Courts in Israel, Egypt, and India.” (Winner of two dissertation prizes and book prize; Started at John Jay CUNY, now Professor, Syracuse).*

Patricia Goedde. (Chair) 2008. “Public Interest Litigation in Korea.” (Sungkyunkwan University College of Law, South Korea) *

Vince Jungkunz (Chair) 2008. “Insubordinate Silence” (Associate Professor, Ohio University)

Theresa Squatrito. 2008. “European Law Made Domestic: Civil Society’s Mobilization against Discrimination.” (extended post-doc in Stockholm, Sweden)*

Ceren Belge. (Co-Chair) 2008. “Whose Law? Clans, the State, and Honor Killings in Turkey and Israel” (Winner of two dissertation prizes, including LSA) (Harvard Academy, now Associate Professor at Concordia College)*

Jennifer Fredette. (Chair) 2010. “On the Muslim Question: The Contentious Politics of Citizenship in France” (Associate Professor, Ohio University)*

Arda Ibikoglu. (Co-Chair) 2011. “Incarcerating Politics: Prison Reform in Contemporary Turkey.” (Assistant Professor, Bogacizi University, Turkey)

Heather Pool. 2011. “The Politics of Mourning” (Associate Professor, Denison College)*

Shauna Fisher. (Chair) 2012. “Talking Past One Another: Issue Framing and Agenda Setting in the Politics of Same Sex Marriage” (Assistant Prof, U of West Virginia)

Seth Greenfest. 2012.. “Rules of Access: Congress, the Federal Courts, and Judicial Agenda-setting and Change” (chose a non-academic career)

Roberts, Chris. 2012. “Citation Practices in Constitutional Cases.” (non-academic career)

Jessica Beyer. 2012. “Youth and the Generation of Political Consciousness Online” (JSIS lecturer and project director, UW)*

Pamela Stumpo. 2012. “Citizenship and Change in Egypt”(chose non-academic career)

Rachel Sanders. 2013. “The Color of Fat: Race, Gender, and the Politics of Obesity.” (Portland State University, now Microsoft Inclusion Director)

James Chamberlain. 2013. “Beyond the Work Society: Rethinking Freedom and Power.” (Associate Professor, Mississippi State University)*

Larry Cushnie. 2014. “Dissent through Destruction: American Political Activism and the Utilization of Property Disruption through Protest.” (Lecturer, Seattle University)

Milli Lake. (Co-Chair) 2014. ‘Building the Rule of Law in Fragile States: The Role of External Actors in Shaping Institutional Responses to Mass Violence in the Democratic Republic of Congo and South Africa’ (Assistant Professor, Arizona State University; now London School of Economics; winner of several dissertation awards)*

Brandon Derman (Geography). 2015. “The Politics of (dis)Connection: Institutions, Movements, and Climate Justice.” (Assistant Professor, U. of Illinois, Springfield) *

Angela Day. (Chair) 2014. “When the Whistle Did Not Blow” (non-academic career)*

Kirstine Taylor (Co-Chair) 2015. “Racial Violence and the Politics of Innocence: From the Postwar South to Post-Racial America.” (Associate Professor, Ohio University)

Yoav Duman (Co-Chair) 2015. “Bolstering the National Project: Competitive Nation Building and Immigration Policies in Catalonia, Israel, and Quebec.” (Assistant Professor, Green River Community College.)

Erin Adam (Co-Chair). 2017. “Queer Alliances: Paradoxes and Power in the Formation of Rights-Based Movement Coalitions.” (Assistant Professor, Hunter College, CUNY)*

Filiz Kahraman (Co-Chair) 2017. “Labor Rights as Human Rights: Legal Mobilization at the European Court of Human Rights.” (Post-Doc Georgetown University; Assistant Professor, University of Toronto)

Zeynep Kasni (Member). 2017. “(Re) Bordering Territory and Citizenship along the Greek-Turkish Borderland.” (Post-doc, then Research Faculty, University of Leiden)

Ayse Toksoz (member) 2017. “Reproductive Rights and Neoliberal Turkey.” (Non-academic career).

Hind Ahmed Zaki (Co-chair) 2018. "In the Shadow of the State: Gender Contestation and Legal Mobilization in the Context of the Arab Spring in Egypt and Tunisia." (Post Doc Harvard, and then Brandeis, Tenure Track Assistant Professor, U. of Connecticut).*

Laura Back (member) 2018. "Rights, Care, and Democratic Ethos."

Oded Oron. (member). 2018. "Let My People Stay: Irregular Migrants' Struggle for Rights and Recognition." (Assistant Director, Cornell Hillel)

Sara Dreier (Co-Chair) 2019. "Church, State, and Sex: How Africa's Transnational Churches Shape Human Rights." (TT Assistant Professor, University of New Mexico)

Sean Butorac (member) 2020. "States of Insurrection: Race, Resistance, and the Laws of Slavery." (Tenure Track, Northern Illinois University)

Emma Rodman (member) 2020. "The Idea of Equality in America." (Post-Doc Princeton Tenure Track, University of Massachusetts, Lowell).

Tania Melo (Chair) 2021. "We're Not Breaking the Law. We are Exercising our Citizen's Right to Enforce It': Organizers, Litigation Strategies, and Movement Legal Remedies." (Non-academic career w/ Google.)

Paige Sechrest (Co-Chair). 2021. "Knowing Our Way to Freedom: The Epistemology of Racial Hierarchy." (non-academic track, University of Washington)

John-Paul Anderson (member). 2021. "Faith in Violence." (Assistant Professor, San Diego State University).

Anna Zelenz. (Chair) 2021. "Oppositional Lives: Sustaining, skirting, and subverting systems of Oppression in Palestine." (non-academic career).

Chelsea Moore (Chair) 2021. "In Pursuit of the Pervert: Sexual Dangerousness and the Creep of the Carceral State." (chose to become prison reform activist and Visiting Lecturer)

Riddhi Mehta-Neugebauer (Chair) 2022. "The Political Economy of Public Pension Funds and Investment Privatization." (non-academic career as labor policy activist)

Grace Reinke (member) 2022. "Unlikely Resistance: Taking on Extraction by Taxation." (TT Assistant Professor, University of New Orleans)

Jonathan Beck (chair) 2022. "Fees Rise, Class Divide: Higher Education, Inequality, and Student Social Movements." (Lecturer, UW)

On supervisory committees for eight dissertations in progress in 2022, including but not limited to: Jennifer Driscoll, Julia Weichert, Dennis Young, Jeffrey Grove, Walid Salem (still wrongly detained in Egypt after 4 years)

REFERENCES:

Professor Lauren Edelman
Jurisprudence and Social Policy, Boalt Law School
University of California, Berkeley
Berkeley, CA 94720

Professor Austin Sarat
Department of Political Science
Amherst College
Amherst, Massachusetts 01002

Professor Susan S. Silbey
Department of Sociology and Anthropology
77 Massachusetts Avenue, Room 16-267
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, MA 02139-4307

Professor Rogers M. Smith
Department of Political Science
University of Pennsylvania
Philadelphia, PA 19104-6215

Professor (Emeritus) Joel Migdal
Robert F. Philip Professor of International Studies
University of Washington
Box 353650
Seattle, WA 98195-3650